Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,959	BREHOB ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jaime W Corrigan	3748
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Jaime W Corrigan.	(3)	1
(2) <u>Diana Brehob</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 July 2002.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represen	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Attorney agreed to amend claim 1 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment attached hereto</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amendments	er agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). 		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
;		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	s signature, if required